Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 0001 Park Run Drive

Marquis Aurbach Coffin Craig R. Anderson, Esq.	ng
Craig R. Anderson, Esq.	

Nevada Bar No. 6882 10001 Park Run Drive

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816

canderson@maclaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, Ofc. Fryman, Ofc. Emerton, Ofc. Ferguson and Ofc. Locher

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JEANNE LLERA and JORGE L. GOMEZ, as the appointed co-special administrators of the estate of JORGE A. GOMEZ; JEANNE LLERA and JORGE L. GOMEZ,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT; RYAN FRYMAN; DAN EMERTON; VERNON FERGUSON; ANDREW LOCHER; JOHN SQUEO and DOES 2-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Number: 2:20-cv-01589-RFB-BNW

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY

(FIFTH REQUEST)

Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel of record, Eric Valenzuela, Esq., of Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo; Defendants LVMPD, Ofc. Fryman, Ofc. Emerton, Ofc. Ferguson and Ofc. Locher ("LVMPD Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, Craig R. Anderson, Esq., of Marquis Aurbach; and Defendant Officer John Squeo ("Defendant Squeo"), by and through his counsel of record, Daniel R. McNutt, Esq. of McNutt Law Firm, P.C., hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend discovery deadlines in the above-captioned matter sixty (60) days, up to and including February 15, 2022. In support of this stipulation and request, the parties state as follows:

PROCEDURAL HISTORY I.

On August 29, 2020, the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. ECF No. 1. 1.

2.	On October 1, 2020, the LVMPD Defendants filed their Answer to Plaintiffs
Complaint.	ECF No. 11.

- 3. On November 20, 2020, this Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 14.
- 4. On February 17, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint in this matter. ECF No. 21. The First Amended Complaint named a new defendant John Squeo.
- 5. On March 3, 2021, Defendants LVMPD, Fryman, Emerton, Ferguson and Locher filed their Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 25.
- 6. On March 17, 2021, new Defendant John Squeo filed his Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 29. Defendant Squeo is represented by new counsel and this Answer marked his first appearance in this litigation.

II. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

- The original parties participated in the FRCP 26 conference on November 13,
 Defendant Squeo did not participate as he was not named a defendant.
- 2. The parties agreed to stipulate to extend the time to serve Rule 26 disclosures until after LVMPD had completed its internal criminal investigation into the subject event and released its internal documents to defense counsel.
- 3. On November 20, 2020, the Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 14.
- 4. On January 5, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 5. On January 5, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 6. On January 5, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served written discovery on all named Plaintiffs.
- 7. On January 6, 2021, the Plaintiffs served requests for production of documents on Defendant LVMPD.

discovery.

N _{EA}				
8.	On February 1, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their first supplemental			
disclosure.				
9.	On March 5, 2021, Plaintiffs served their responses to the LVMPD			
Defendants' d	liscovery requests.			
10.	On March 15, 2021, LVMPD served their individual responses to Plaintiffs'			
written discov	very.			
11.	On March 15, 2021 LVMPD served their second supplemental disclosure.			
12.	On March 17, 2021, John Squeo served a demand for all prior discovery			
exchanged.				
13.	On March 29, 2021, Plaintiffs served requests for production of documents on			
Defendant Squeo.				
14.	On March 30, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his initial disclosures.			
15.	In April 2021, plaintiffs took the depositions of LVMPD witness officers			
Connell, Luot	o, Velasco, Oniate, Johnson, Perez, and Borggard.			
16.	In April and May 2021, plaintiffs took the depositions of LVMPD defendant			
officers Loche	er, Ferguson, Squeo and Fryman.			
17.	On April 7, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his first supplemental disclosure.			
18.	On April 14, 2021, Defendant Squeo served written discovery on Plaintiffs.			
19.	On April 19, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his second supplemental			
disclosure.				
20.	On April 28, 2021, Defendant Squeo responded to Plaintiffs' written			
discovery.				
21.	On April 29, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their third supplemental			
disclosure.				
22.	On May 6, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their fourth supplemental			
disclosure.				
23.	On May 18 and 19, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's written			

	*		
:	4. On May 26,	2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their fifth supplement	ta
disclosu	æ.		
	5. In June and Ju	uly, 2021, Defendants took the depositions of all named plaintif	fs
	6. On June 2,	2021, Plaintiffs responded to LVMPD Defendants' writt	er
discove	y.		
:	7. On June 28,	2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their sixth supplement	tal
disclosu	e.		
:	8. On June 29	, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's writt	er
discove	y.		
	9. On July 12, 20	021, Defendant Squeo provided his third supplemental disclosur	re.
	0. On July 14, 2	2021, Defendant Squeo served written discovery on Plaintiffs.	
	1. On August	10, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their seven	ıth
supplen	ental disclosure.		
	2. On August	11, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo' writt	er
discove	y.		
	3. On August	12, 2021, Plaintiffs served written discovery on Defenda	ını
LVMPI	•		
:	4. On August	12, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's writte	en
discove	y.		
:	5. On August 16	6, 2021, LVMPD responded to Plaintiffs' written discovery.	
	6. On August 3	1, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants and Defendant Squeo serve	ed
their joi	t expert disclosure.		
	7. On August 31	1, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their expert disclosure.	
	8. All parties ha	we retained expert witnesses in this matter.	
	9. All parties ha	we served numerous subpoenas on various third parties.	
III.	VHY REMAINING	G DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED	

This case involves the June 1, 2020, fatal officer involved shooting of Jorge A. Gomez,

Jr. The parties have actively litigated his case for the past year. Recently, the parties disclosed

Page 4 of 6

MAC:14687-283 4487555_2 9/24/2021 9:15 AM

initial experts and the parties are in the process of serving rebuttal expert reports. The parties recently began discussing expert depositions and the remaining witness depositions. Due to competing schedules and the busy schedules of the parties' experts, it has proven difficult to find sufficient dates to complete all expert and witness depositions by the current November 15, 2021 deadline. Recognizing that the depositions could not be scheduled by November 15, 2021 deadline and due to the holidays, the parties agreed that a 60-day extension would provide sufficient time to complete the remaining discovery.

IV. REMAINING DISCOVERY

- 1. Plaintiffs need to take the depositions of the Defendants police practices expert and two rebuttal experts.
- 2. Defendants need to take the depositions of the Plaintiffs' police practices expert, medical expert, and any rebuttal experts.
- 3. Defendant Squeo intends to notice the depositions of at least four (4) LVMPD officers who witnessed portions of the events.
- 4. Plaintiffs are still waiting on a decision regarding their motion to compel LVMPD's Critical Incident Review Team Report. The production of that motion may require additional discovery.

This section does not limit the parties' ability to conduct other discovery.

V. <u>EXTENSION OR MODIFICATION OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER</u>

LR 26-4 governs modifications of extensions of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Any stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline, and comply fully with LR 26-4. The parties are submitting this request twenty-one (21) days before the expert deadline disclosure. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the modification of a scheduling order be granted. The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)	October 14, 2021	December 14, 2021
Dispositive Motion	December 15, 2021	February 15, 2022
Discovery Cut-Off	November 15, 2021	January 18, 2022
Joint Pre-Trial Order	January 18, 2022	March 18, 2022 (if dispositive motions are filed the deadline for filing the joint pre-trial order will be suspended until 30 days after a decision on the dispositive motions or further court order)

This request for extensions of time is not sought for any improper purpose or for purposes of delay. Due to firm trial settings and the availability of expert witnesses, it has proven difficult to complete the remaining discovery in the current time period.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this court extend the discovery dates as outlined in accordance with the table above.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 24th day of September, 2021.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

By: <u>s/Craig R. Anderson</u>
Craig R. Anderson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6882
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorney for LVMPD Defendants

By: ____s/Eric Valenzuela Eric Valenzuela, Esq. CA Bar No. 284500 (Pro Hac Vice) 21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MCNUTT LAW FIRM

By: <u>s/Daniel R. McNutt</u>
Daniel R. McNutt, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7815
625 South Eighth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for Defendant Squeo

<u>Order</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 2:31 pm, September 27, 2021

Derbweten

BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE